Pulmonary Effects of Sustained Periods of High-G Acceleration Relevant to Suborbital Spaceflight
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BACKGROUND: Members of the public will soon be taking commercial suborbital spaceflights with significant +Gx (chest-to-back) acceleration potentially reaching up to +6 Gx. Pulmonary physiology is gravity-dependent and is likely to be affected, which may have clinical implications for medically susceptible individuals.

METHODS: During 2-min centrifuge exposures ranging up to +6 Gx, 11 healthy subjects were studied using advanced respiratory techniques. These sustained exposures were intended to allow characterization of the underlying pulmonary response and did not replicate actual suborbital G profiles. Regional distribution of ventilation in the lungs was determined using electrical impedance tomography. Neural respiratory drive (from diaphragm electromyography) and work of breathing (from transdiaphragmatic pressures) were obtained via nasoesophageal catheters. Arterial blood gases were measured in a subset of subjects. Measurements were conducted while breathing air and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate anticipated cabin pressurization conditions.

RESULTS: Acceleration caused hypoxemia that worsened with increasing magnitude and duration of +Gx. Minimum arterial oxygen saturation at +6 Gx was 86 ± 1% breathing air and 79 ± 1% breathing 15% oxygen. With increasing +Gx, the alveolar-arterial (A-a) oxygen gradient widened progressively and the relative distribution of ventilation reversed from posterior to anterior lung regions with substantial gas-trapping anteriorly. Severe breathlessness accompanied large progressive increases in work of breathing and neural respiratory drive.

DISCUSSION: Sustained high-G acceleration at magnitudes relevant to suborbital flight profoundly affects respiratory physiology. These effects may become clinically important in the most medically susceptible passengers, in whom the potential role of centrifuge-based preflight evaluation requires further investigation.
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Private citizens will soon be flying on commercial suborbital spaceflights. As at the dawn of air travel a century ago, suborbital flights will not be widely affordable initially, but high-speed suborbital spaceflight is ultimately expected to revolutionize global transportation by transforming long-haul routes into short trips (e.g., London–New York in 30 min).

Current suborbital flights provide several minutes of weightlessness. This is preceded and followed by short periods of high acceleration (high ‘G forces’ or ‘G’) during launch and atmospheric re-entry that are potentially greater in magnitude than...
for NASA's now-retired Space Shuttle, although shorter in duration (typically less than a minute). Unlike professional astronauts, suborbital passengers may have widely varying age, fitness, and baseline health—hundreds of people have already purchased flights, including many who are elderly (some older than 90 yr of age) or have significant medical problems, or both. The physiological and clinical implications of this dynamic flight environment in such a diverse population have yet to be established. According to U.S. regulations, commercial spaceflight crew must demonstrate an ability to withstand the stresses of spaceflight, including high acceleration, but there is no regulatory requirement for centrifuge-based training or experience for prospective suborbital passengers.

Spacecraft occupants are usually reclined in a supine position during launch and re-entry phases so that acceleration is experienced in the chest-to-back direction (+G\textsubscript{x}). This reduces the likelihood of loss of consciousness compared with the head-to-foot direction when seated upright (+G\textsubscript{x}, experienced by fast-jet pilots), but instead causes chest compression that has been commonly likened to an ‘elephant sitting on the chest’. Anticipated suborbital G loads may exceed +3 G\textsubscript{x} for periods of 20–30 s, reaching a transient peak of up to +6 G\textsubscript{x} on re-entry. At +6 G\textsubscript{x}, an object’s weight is increased sixfold so that, for example, an 85-kg person weighs half a ton.

Most individuals with well-controlled medical conditions are expected to be capable of safely tolerating the hypergravity phases of suborbital spaceflight. Centrifuge-simulated suborbital acceleration profiles conducted under normoxic conditions have been tolerated by many volunteers of widely varying ages and with minor and stable medical conditions, although physical symptoms and problematic anxiety were quite common and approximately 5% of volunteers were unable to complete the exposures, possibly related in part to a sensation of difficulty breathing.

Limited measurements of arterial oxygen saturation (SpO\textsubscript{2}) in some individuals indicated desaturation as low as 89% that was not associated with adverse sequelae. Pulmonary physiology has not otherwise been studied during simulated suborbital profiles, yet the lung is unusually vulnerable to gravitational effects—it has little actual tissue mass and deforms under its own weight.

The precise role of gravity and its interaction with other factors in lung physiology is not completely understood, but postural effects of gravity on respiratory function are well established in clinical medicine, such as the use of prone positioning in critically ill patients. Regional ventilation and blood flow normally increase toward the dependent region of the lung, but become more inhomogeneous with increasing high G acceleration, eventually resulting in hypoxemia secondary to ventilation/perfusion mismatch. Perfusion of the nondependent lung is reduced while compression of lung tissue under its increased weight, further compounded by the displacement of mediastinal contents, leads to airway closure within dependent lung regions, loss of alveolar ventilation, and shunt.

Centrifuge studies primarily conducted in healthy subjects in the 1960s and more recently have induced well-tolerated hypoxemia using various magnitudes and durations of +G\textsubscript{x} acceleration. Based on extrapolation from these diverse studies it is possible that hypoxemia may occur during suborbital flights. This would not necessarily be clinically concerning in itself, particularly in individuals who are young and healthy, but may have greater significance in older and less healthy individuals.

Such hypoxemia could be exacerbated by the use of airline-style cabin pressurization on suborbital spacecraft. Commercial airline passengers routinely experience mild hypoxia (S\textsubscript{PO2}, typically 90–95%) due to reduced atmospheric pressure within the cabin that is equivalent to an altitude of up to 8000 ft (2438 m). This is sufficient to activate classic physiological responses to hypoxia in flight such as erythropoietin secretion and hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. More severe hypoxemia occurs in passengers with respiratory disease and in some healthy individuals, particularly with increasing age, and can contribute to adverse medical events in flight. Some suborbital spacecraft will have similarly reduced cabin pressure and thus similarly hypoxic conditions, which in theory could accentuate G-induced hypoxemia and impact medically susceptible individuals, but this has not yet been investigated.

This study aimed to characterize the underlying pulmonary response to +G\textsubscript{x} acceleration in order to guide the medical approach to prospective suborbital flyers and improve passenger safety. Rather than replicate the brief and dynamic G profiles of actual suborbital flights, this study used sustained G exposures to allow more complete and detailed characterization of the underlying pulmonary response. We aimed to determine how +G\textsubscript{x} acceleration loads ranging up to +6 G\textsubscript{x} affect respiratory physiology, what degree of hypoxemia this may cause, and how this is influenced by simulated airline-style cabin pressurization.

METHODS

Subjects
Healthy volunteers were recruited following medical screening, which included a health questionnaire, medical examination, 12-lead ECG, urinalysis, and spirometry. Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are described in the supplementary online appendix (Appendix A; https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5790sd.2021) together with further details of the experimental methods. The study was approved by the King’s College London and QinetiQ Research Ethics Committees and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent. There were 11 healthy subjects who took part in the study, which used a randomized, repeated measures, crossover design.

Equipment
The study was undertaken using a long-arm human centrifuge (radius 9.14 m; QinetiQ, Farnborough, UK). Heart rate (from three-lead ECG), S\textsubscript{PO2} at the earlobe, and tidal volume and respiratory rate (from a pneumotachograph in line with the demand valve regulator controlling breathing gas delivery) were recorded continuously via Powerlab 16SP and LabChart 7 (AD
Instruments, Oxford, UK). Breath-by-breath end-tidal partial pressures of oxygen (P\textsubscript{ET}O\textsubscript{2}) and carbon dioxide (P\textsubscript{ET}CO\textsubscript{2}) were measured using an in-line molecular flow sensor (University of Oxford, Oxford, UK).\textsuperscript{13}

Regional distribution of lung ventilation was determined using electrical impedance tomography (EIT) via 16 circumferential chest electrodes (Goe-MF II EIT device, CareFusion, Höchberg, Germany).\textsuperscript{15} This technique uses bio-impedance measurements in which a sinusoidal current is injected and the resulting surface potential measured in adjacent electrodes. Through these measurements, EIT tracks lung conductivity as it varies depending on the degree of inflation and forms a tomographic image that reflects regional ventilation. EIT is used in respiratory research and is under investigation for clinical use. Functional EIT images at each acceleration level were used in eight regions of interest in the lung defined as anterior (A1–A4) and posterior (P1–P4) moving from chest to back. Tidal impedance and end-expiratory impedance were determined and normalized to a percentage of global impedance for each region of interest (Dräger EIT Data Analysis Tool 6.1, Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany).\textsuperscript{15}

In nine subjects, nasoesophageal catheters were used to study respiratory drive to the diaphragm (neural respiratory drive) and breathing mechanics. Diaphragm electromyography (EMG\textsubscript{di}) was recorded continuously from an esophageal multipair electrode catheter and expressed as a proportion of the value obtained during maximum volitional inspiratory maneuvers (EMG\textsubscript{di} %max) as previously described.\textsuperscript{21} EMG\textsubscript{di} %max was multiplied by respiratory rate to calculate the neural respiratory drive index (NRDI; arbitrary units, AU).\textsuperscript{21,23} Transdiaphragmatic pressure was measured simultaneously using a dual pressure transducer tipped catheter (Gaeltec, Dunvegan, UK) with the proximal transducer in the midesophagus and the distal transducer in the stomach, and the diaphragm pressure-time product (PTP\textsubscript{di}) was calculated to provide an index of the work of breathing.\textsuperscript{4,22}

Arterial blood gases were analyzed in a subset of three subjects via a 20-gauge radial artery cannula. Subjects withdrew an arterial sample immediately prior to completing each G exposure. A video and description of the arterial sampling procedure on the centrifuge are included in the supplementary online appendix (Appendix A; https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5790sd.2021). The

![Fig. 1. Arterial oxygen saturation during +G\textsubscript{x} acceleration. Upper panels show arterial oxygen saturation (S\textsubscript{p}O\textsubscript{2}) and lower panels show applied acceleration (G\textsubscript{x}). Left panels show measurements breathing air and right panels show measurements breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m). Data are mean ± SEM.](image-url)
alveolar-arterial (A-a) gradient was calculated using the alveolar gas equation, with an assumed $R$ value of 0.8.

**Procedure**

Subjects wore comfortable clothes with no anti-G trousers or other G protection. Following instrumentation subjects were positioned supine in the centrifuge gondola wearing an occlusive nose clip and breathing through a mouthpiece. Exposures of 2 min to +2, +4, and +6 Gs were undertaken twice, once breathing air and once breathing 15% oxygen (balance 85% nitrogen) to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m). The order of exposures was randomized and subjects were

Fig. 2. Pulmonary gas exchange during $+G_x$ acceleration. Upper left panel shows the minimum arterial oxygen saturation ($S_{\text{aO}_2}$) observed during each G exposure, including the mean, interquartile range (boxes), 10–90% range (bars), and individual outliers beyond this range. Upper right panel shows the arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO$_2$), lower right panel shows the calculated alveolar-arterial (A-a) oxygen gradient, and lower left panel shows the end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PETCO$_2$); data are mean ± SEM. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols).
blinded to the gas mixture. The centrifuge was stationary for at least 5 min between exposures. Breathlessness intensity was recorded using the modified Borg (mBorg) scale\textsuperscript{11} and subjects were asked to report any symptoms of pain or discomfort.

Statistical Analysis

The study was powered to detect a change in $S_pO_2$ of 5 percentage points with a power of 80% and a two-sided significance of 0.05. Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics and statistical significance was assumed at $P < 0.05$. Data were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test). Differences between G levels and breathing gases were assessed using one-way and two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser correction and with Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Data are reported as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated.

RESULTS

There were 11 subjects (8 men and 3 women) with mean (± SD) age 29 ± 6 yr, weight 80 ± 17 kg, height 1.76 ± 0.09 m, and body mass index 26 ± 4 (kg · m$^{-2}$). There was a large fall in $S_pO_2$ during exposure to +G$_x$ acceleration (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), indicating substantial impairment of gas exchange. The fall in $S_pO_2$ increased significantly with the magnitude [$F(1.7,13.4) = 19.3$, $P < 0.001$] and duration [$F(1.5,12.2) = 26.9$, $P < 0.001$] of +G$_x$, reaching a minimum $S_pO_2$ of 86 ± 1% at +6 G$_x$ while breathing air. Breathing 15% oxygen significantly exacerbated these effects [$F(1.8) = 64.7$, $P < 0.001$], with a minimum $S_pO_2$ of 79 ± 1% at +6 G$_x$ (Fig. 2). These effects were evident even at +2 G$_x$ (minimum $S_pO_2$ 96 ± 1% breathing air and 88 ± 1% breathing 15% oxygen).

Similarly, the arterial partial pressure of oxygen ($P_aO_2$) fell with increasing +G$_x$ to 54 ± 1 mmHg at +6 G$_x$ [$F(1.9,3.8) = 15.9$, $P = 0.015$] and was significantly lower breathing 15% oxygen [$F(1.2) = 69.8$, $P = 0.014$], reaching 42 ± 1 mmHg at +6 G$_x$ (Fig. 2). The A-a gradient widened substantially with increasing acceleration to a peak of 53 ± 2 mmHg at +6 G$_x$ [$F(1.5,3.0) = 38.4$, $P = 0.008$; Fig. 2], indicating worsening impairment of ventilation/perfusion matching. The A-a gradient was smaller with reduced inspired oxygen [$F(1.2) = 76.7$, $P = 0.013$], but still widened with increasing +G$_x$ (Fig. 2). $P_{ET}CO_2$ fell with increasing +G$_x$ [$F(1.9,13.5) = 17.4$, $P < 0.001$], but was not significantly affected by inspired oxygen level [$F(1.7) = 5.4$, $P = 0.053$; Fig. 2]. Changes in $P_{ET}O_2$ (Fig. A2 online) and heart rate (Fig. A3 online) are reported in the supplementary online Appendix A (https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5790sd.2021).

With increasing acceleration from +1 G$_x$ baseline to +6 G$_x$ there was a reversal of the normal relative distribution of ventilation from posterior to anterior lung regions. A progressive inversion of normalized tidal impedance from chest to back and a significant interaction between region of interest and G level [$F(4.0,35.9) = 10.8$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3] were observed. End-expiratory impedance increased in the most anterior lung regions with increasing +G$_x$, with a significant interaction between region of interest and G level [$P(3.4,30.3) = 11.9$, $P < 0.001$], indicating higher end-expiratory regional lung volume due to progressively greater gas-trapping anteriorly (Fig. 3).

Increasing acceleration loads caused a substantial, dose-dependent increase in the work of breathing, with $PTP_{di}$ increasing from 242 ± 22 cmH$_2$O · s$^{-1}$ · min$^{-1}$ at baseline to 658 ± 86 cmH$_2$O · s$^{-1}$ · min$^{-1}$ at +6 G$_x$ when breathing air [$F(1.3,10.3) = 36.8$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4]. A parallel increase from baseline to +6 G$_x$ in EMG$_{Gmax}$ ($\bar{1}$11 ± 1% vs. 45 ± 7%) and in NRDI [$112 ± 14 AU vs. 825 ± 174 AU, F(1.1,8.6) = 17.0$, $P = 0.003$; Fig. 4] was observed. Whereas NRDI, $PTP_{pa}$ and respiratory rate [$F(2.1,12.0) = 34.4$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4] increased with increasing +G$_x$, tidal volume decreased significantly [$F(2.7,27.4) = 9.7$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4], limiting the consequent increase in ventilation [$F(1.7,16.9) = 11.1$, $P = 0.001$; Fig. 4]. Thus, increasing +G$_x$ was associated with progressive neuroventilatory uncoupling (i.e., increased neural respiratory drive without a parallel increase in ventilation)\textsuperscript{20} (further depicted in Fig. A4 and Fig. A5 in the supplementary online appendix (Appendix A; https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.5790sd.2021)). Breathing 15% oxygen resulted in greater increases in $PTP_{di}$ [$F(1.8) = 38.8$, $P < 0.001$], but had no further significant effects on NRDI [$F(1.8) = 4.3$, $P = 0.072$] or the other ventilatory parameters (Fig. 4).

Subjectively, subjects reported increasing breathlessness with each step change in acceleration [$F(1.3,12.8) = 64.1$, $P < 0.001$], with severe breathlessness at +6 G$_x$ (median mBorg 5 [IQR 3.5-7]), and mildly hypoxic conditions resulted in further increases in mBorg [$F(1.10) = 6.3$, $P = 0.031$; Fig. 4]. Eight subjects (73%) reported musculoskeletal chest pain at +4 or +6 G$_x$, which was generally parasternal or substernal and worse on inspiration, and persisted for 2 d after testing in two subjects.

DISCUSSION

Currently there are no medical criteria for determining an individual’s suitability for suborbital spaceflight, reflecting the lack of evidence on which to base such criteria.\textsuperscript{38} While most people are likely to be able to tolerate a suborbital spaceflight safely,\textsuperscript{8} a deeper understanding of the underlying physiology may assist medical decision-making for individuals with conditions that raise particular concerns. This study has demonstrated that sustained periods of +G$_x$ at magnitudes relevant to suborbital spaceflight profoundly affect respiratory physiology and impair gas exchange in healthy individuals. Marked hypoxemia and breathlessness were exacerbated by simulating potential cabin pressure conditions with mild hypoxia, although mean $S_pO_2$ did not fall below 85% within the first minute, which is most relevant to actual suborbital flights.

Conducting detailed physiological measurements during high-G acceleration is complex, challenging, and rarely attempted, and the integration of multiple advanced techniques is a strength of this study. To our knowledge, no previous centrifuge studies have used electrical impedance tomography, diaphragm electromyography, esophageal/gastric manometry, molecular flow sensing, or concurrent hypoxia during +G$_x$ acceleration. A
This study did not seek to replicate the anticipated G profiles of actual suborbital flights in which in-flight acceleration peaks and overall G exposures will be brief compared with the sustained G required to characterize the underlying pulmonary response. As such, the responses we observed are not expected to be evident generally in suborbital passengers, but rather provide an understanding of the physiological processes that will be triggered and may interact with individual factors such as pre-existing morbidity. On actual flights the in-flight +G\textsubscript{x} exposure may also be intensified by a simultaneous +G\textsubscript{z} component in some circumstances (e.g., seated crew),\textsuperscript{9} and the period of microgravity could itself interfere with gas exchange in the elderly or in the presence of lung pathology.\textsuperscript{24,35} Furthermore, rapid transition to high G from zero G (rather than from 1 G, as in the current study) could impair tolerance during actual...
Fig. 4. Breathing mechanics, breathing drive, ventilation, and breathlessness during +G\textsubscript{x} acceleration. Work of breathing was determined from transdiaphragmatic pressure as the diaphragm pressure-time product (PTP\textsubscript{d}). Neural respiratory drive index (NRDI) was determined from diaphragm electromyography (EMG\textsubscript{di}) as the proportion of maximum volitional EMG\textsubscript{di} (EMG\textsubscript{di,max}) multiplied by respiratory rate. Breathlessness intensity was rated using the modified Borg scale. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols). Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) from baseline for respective G loads after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Data are mean ± SEM.
susceptible individuals with relevant conditions. This study found that magnitudes of +Gx acceleration experienced over the suborbital range profoundly changed the regional distribution of pulmonary ventilation and the mechanical behavior of the lung and chest wall. Progressive anterior gas-trapping combined (in real-time) with a relative re- regional distribution of pulmonary ventilation and the mechanical behavior of the lung and chest wall. Progressive anterior gas-trapping combined (in real-time) with a relative re- of increasing +Gx was analogous to a transient form of respiratory failure associated with such disease states. High-G acceleration also multiplies body weight and suborbital profiles can be considered as briefly inducing a temporary 'super obesity-like' state that, like obesity itself, causes respiratory embarrassment. Indeed, together with the pronounced anterior gas-trapping, the effect of increasing +Gx was analogous to a transient form of respiratory failure associated with such disease states. Suborbital G exposure will be considerable for an untrained individual, with the aim of optimizing passenger health while maximizing access to suborbital spaceflight. In conclusion, this study demonstrates that sustained periods of high-G acceleration relevant to commercial suborbital spaceflight profoundly affect respiratory physiology, causing substantial hypoxemia and breathlessness that are exacerbated by simulated cabin pressure conditions. These effects are not expected to be clinically meaningful for the majority of spaceflight participants, but provide a deeper understanding of the physiological processes that will be triggered during suborbital flight and that may impact on a minority of individuals. Further research is required to determine whether centrifuge-based testing can improve medical evaluation prior to suborbital flight for the most medically susceptible individuals, with the aim of optimizing passenger health while maximizing access to suborbital spaceflight.
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SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria for subjects:

- Ages 18–55 yr inclusive and in good health.

Exclusion criteria for subjects:

- Any history of cardiovascular disease (including, but not limited to, postural hypotension, hypertension, varicosities, echocardiogram abnormalities, any right ventricular dysfunction, significant asymptomatic aortic stenosis, clinically significant regurgitant valvular disease, structural ventricular abnormalities, ECG abnormalities, venous thrombosis, congestive cardiac failure, congenital heart disease, cardiac surgery, or myocardial infarction);
- Any history of chronic or acute upper or lower respiratory disease (including asthma, spontaneous pneumothorax, chronic obstructive airways disease, cystic fibrosis, emphysema, or other respiratory condition);
- Any history of neurological disorder (including epilepsy, any form of unexplained loss of consciousness, serious head injury, congenital or acquired neurological defect, transient ischemic attacks, or severe migraine);
- Any active back or neck pain or symptoms suggesting nerve root compression or history of significant past/current back or neck injury or pathology;
- Any history of significant peripheral arterial or venous vascular disease including giant cell arteritis, varicose veins, deep venous thrombosis, hemorrhoids, or arterio-venous malformations;
- Any history of diabetes mellitus or any significant endocrine disturbance (including current conditions for which the subject is taking medication);
- Any history of unexplained visual disturbance, retinal detachment, or retinal vascular disease, especially central/branch retinal artery/vein occlusion;
- Anemia from any cause and sickle cell trait;
- Any use of drugs promoting lowered blood pressure or motion sickness;
- Any other medical condition considered unacceptable to the Medical Officer; or
- Pregnancy.

Further exclusion criteria for use of esophageal catheters:

- Recent midfacial (including nasal) or upper gastro-intestinal tract trauma or surgery;
- Abnormal esophageal anatomy for example presence of strictures or diverticula, tracheoesophageal fistula, esophageal varices; or
- Coagulopathy or history of recurrent or significant epistaxis.

Further exclusion criteria for arterial cannulation:

- History of vascular injury or ischemia of the arms (such as frostbite, cold injury, Raynaud's syndrome/phenomenon).

Further Experimental Methods

Subjects were required to avoid alcohol and strenuous exercise for 12 h prior to the experimental visit, and to refrain from caffeine intake 2 h prior to the centrifuge exposures. Female subjects were asked to confirm that they were not pregnant at the time of the study and undertook a urine pregnancy test.
On arrival at the centrifuge facility, the subject’s fitness to undergo centrifuge exposure was confirmed by a medical officer. For those subjects in whom arterial sampling was undertaken, a 20-gauge arterial cannula was inserted in the radial artery under local anesthetic (subcutaneous bleb of lidocaine 1%) using an aseptic technique. Before entering the gondola, subjects were instrumented with:

- 3-lead ECG electrodes;
- 16 electrical impedance tomography electrodes around the chest (see Fig. A1); and
- esophageal catheters inserted under local anesthetic (topical spray to the nasopharynx).

Following instrumentation subjects were positioned supine in the centrifuge gondola wearing an occlusive nose clip and breathing through a mouthpiece. Breathing gases were supplied to the subject using an aircraft oxygen regulator to ensure consistent breathing system characteristics across the two gas conditions. The breathing circuit incorporated a pneumotachograph (allowing measurement of inspiratory volumes) and an in-line molecular flow sensor (University of Oxford, Oxford, UK). Once positioned in the gondola the measurement equipment was connected and the centrifuge harness was secured without compromising the ability to make maximal ventilatory efforts. A forced vital capacity maneuver was performed at baseline and during the first seconds at each G plateau. Acceleration to and from each G level plateau was achieved at an onset/offset rate of 0.3 G · s⁻¹. Communication was via audio (two-way) and video monitoring of subjects, who used hand signals to communicate when breathing through the mouthpiece.

Photographs and video footage in this supplementary appendix were taken and reproduced with the consent of subjects and investigators.

**Measurements via Esophageal Catheters**

**Measurements.** Transdiaphragmatic pressure (P_d) was measured as the difference between gastric and esophageal pressure obtained using a dual pressure transducer tipped catheter (CTO-2; Gaeltec Devices Ltd, Dunvegan, UK) and associated amplifier (S7d; Gaeltec Devices Ltd), as previously described.¹,9 Crural EMG_d was recorded using a multipair esophageal electrode catheter (Yinghui Medical Equipment Technology Co. Ltd, Guangzhou, China). The catheter consisted of nine consecutive recording electrode coils, which formed five pairs of electrodes.⁵ The pressure transducer and electrode catheters were inserted transnasally using nasopharyngeal local anesthetic (lidocaine) spray. Once correctly positioned they were taped to the nose to prevent movement during the study. Due to technical limitations, during the centrifuge runs, EMG_d signals were recorded from electrode pairs 1, 2, 4, and 5 only. The EMG_d signals were amplified (gain 100) and band-pass filtered between 10–2000 Hz before acquisition (CED 1902; Cambridge Electronic Design Limited, Cambridge, UK). All signals were acquired using a 16-bit analog-to-digital converter (PowerLab 16/35; ADInstruments Ltd, Oxford, UK) and displayed on a laptop computer running LabChart software (Version 7.2, ADInstruments Pty, Colorado Springs, CO, USA) with analog to digital sampling at 100 Hz (flow and pressures), and 4000 Hz (oesEMG_d).

**Maximal volitional inspiratory maneuver.** Three maximal volitional inspiratory maneuvers were performed initially: maximal inspiratory effort against an occluded mouthpiece (a Mueller maneuver) from functional residual capacity to determine maximal inspiratory mouth pressure (P_I_max), maximal sniff, and maximal inspiration to total lung capacity.⁵ These maneuvers were performed sitting upright in a chair with a nose clip in place and were repeated several times to ensure maximal volitional effort.

**P_d parameters.** LabChart data were exported as Matlab files and analyzed offline in the widely available Matlab R2014a software. Transdiaphragmatic pressure-time product (PTP_d), the time-integral of P_d⁶,⁸ was calculated for each respiratory cycle by multiplying the area under the curve of the inspiratory P_d signal by the respiratory frequency (reported in cmH₂O · s⁻¹ · min⁻¹). PTP_d was calculated after removal of the baseline from the inspiratory P_d signal, which was determined for each respiratory cycle as the minimum level observed from the start of inspiration to the start of expiration (i.e., between points of zero flow).

**Quantification of diaphragm electromyography.** Diaphragm electromyography (EMG_d) signals were analyzed offline on a laptop running LabChart software (Version 7.2, ADInstruments Pty). An adaptive mains filter was applied and an additional band-pass filter between 20–1000 Hz was applied to EMG_d signals to reduce the P and T waves of electrocardiographic artifacts and the low-frequency, large amplitude deflections in signal baseline produced by electrode motion and esophageal peristalsis. EMG_d signals were converted to root mean square (RMS) using a moving window of 50 ms. The RMS peak values of EMG_d were then determined by manually analyzing inspiratory EMG_d signal segments falling between QRS complexes of the electrocardiographic noise. For each respiratory cycle, the highest value obtained across all bipolar electrode pairs was selected (peak RMS EMG_d). As previously described,⁷ the per-breath RMS peak values of EMG_d were expressed as percentages of the largest RMS peak value of EMG_d obtained during the three maximal volitional maneuvers (EMG_d%max).
Electrical Impedance Tomography Measurements

Electrical impedance tomography (EIT) can be used to monitor regional ventilation with a high temporal resolution. In this study, EIT data were recorded with the Goe-MF II EIT device (CareFusion, Höchberg, Germany). The 16 electrodes were placed in a cross-sectional plane circumferentially around the thorax, 5 cm below the fifth intercostal space (see Fig. A1), and generated 33 topographical images per second. Goe-MF II uses the adjacent measurement pattern to acquire an EIT frame. Two EIT frames are used to reconstruct the change of regional impedance over time. In this study, tidal breathing at +1 G_x was used as a baseline measurement and all EIT images show the impedance change compared with this reference. The overall change of impedance during tidal breathing is proportional to the tidal volume. The regional contribution of different lung regions to ventilation can be quantified with functional EIT (fEIT) images. These images are calculated from a series of EIT images, where each pixel shows the standard deviation of a pixel from the series. The reconstruction and the fEIT image calculation were performed with the Dräger EIT Data Analysis Tool 6.1 (Dräger Medical, Lübeck, Germany). The posterior regions (P1–P4) of the lung were considered the dependent region and the anterior regions (A1–A4) were considered nondependent. Regional distribution in tidal ventilation derived from tidal impedance was expressed as a percentage of the global tidal impedance change (i.e., the sum impedance change across all regions of interest).

Video and Procedure for Arterial Blood Sampling on the Centrifuge

Link to online video: https://media.kcl.ac.uk/media/Arterial±blood±sampling±on±a±human±centrifuge/0_ryhm1umn.

Arterial blood sampling on a human centrifuge; © Dr. Thomas Smith, Head of Aerospace Medicine Research, King’s College London; https://www.kcl.ac.uk/people/thomas-smith.

Description of procedure. The above link provides a video of the procedure for arterial blood sampling on the centrifuge. The video was taken while the centrifuge was stationary, but samples were taken during high-G acceleration while the centrifuge was spinning. The arterial cannula is in the left radial artery. The subject holds two pre-evacuated syringes, one in each hand, which are attached to the arterial line via three-way taps (all Luer locking connections). To take a sample, first the right-hand syringe is opened to draw back the flush saline in the arterial line. The left-hand syringe is then opened to take the arterial blood sample. Both syringes are then closed and the line is flushed by pulling the toggle on the Springfusor (Go Medical Industries, Perth, Australia) with the right hand. The sample is retrieved and analyzed by the research team as soon as the centrifuge comes to a stop. Blood analysis was performed in duplicate using a point-of-care analyzer (iSTAT-1, Abbott Point of Care Inc., Abbott Park, IL, USA).
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**Fig. A1.** Placement of electrical impedance tomography electrodes.

**Fig. A2.** End-tidal partial pressure of oxygen. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols). End-tidal partial pressure of oxygen ($P_{ETO_2}$) was significantly lower breathing 15% oxygen [$F(1,7) = 1552.5$, $P < 0.001$] and there was a small increase in $P_{ETO_2}$ with increasing $+G_x$ [$F(2.3,16.1) = 5.5$, $P = 0.013$; repeated measures ANOVA with Greenhouse-Geisser correction]. Data are mean ± SEM.
**Fig. A3.** Peak heart rate. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols). The effect of increasing +Gx was statistically significant \([F(1.5,15.1) = 17.3, P < 0.001]\). Breathing 15% oxygen had no significant effect. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference \((P < 0.05)\) from baseline for respective G loads after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Data are mean ± SEM.

**Fig. A4.** Tidal volume normalized to predicted vital capacity. Tidal volume was normalized to predicted vital capacity to account for variation in age, size, height, and ethnicity of subjects. Tidal volume is reported as a percentage of the predicted value for vital capacity (VT%VC\textsubscript{pred}) with predicted values derived from the Global Lung Function Initiative. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols). The effect of increasing +Gx was statistically significant \([F(2.6,21.0) = 10.5, P < 0.001]\). Breathing 15% oxygen had no significant effect. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference \((P < 0.05)\) from baseline for respective G loads after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Data are mean ± SEM.
Fig. A5. Quantitative index of neuroventilatory uncoupling. Breathlessness increases disproportionally if ventilatory responses are limited by impaired pulmonary mechanics, which is termed neuroventilatory uncoupling. A quantitative index of neuroventilatory uncoupling was calculated as the ratio of normalized tidal volume and neural respiratory drive. Tidal volume was normalized to predicted vital capacity (VT%VC\textsubscript{pred}, reported in Fig. A4). Neural respiratory drive was determined from diaphragm electromyography (EMG\textsubscript{di}) as the proportion of maximum volitional EMG\textsubscript{di} (EMG\textsubscript{di}\%\textsubscript{max}). The resultant neuroventilatory uncoupling index, (VT%VC\textsubscript{pred})/(EMG\textsubscript{di}\%\textsubscript{max}), is reported in arbitrary units (AU) and decreased significantly with increasing +G\textsubscript{x} to values below those reported in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [F(2,0,15.6) = 193.8, P < 0.001]. Data were obtained while breathing air (black symbols) and also while breathing 15% oxygen to simulate a cabin pressure altitude of 8000 ft (2438 m; gray symbols), which did not significantly affect neuroventilatory uncoupling. Asterisks denote a statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) from baseline for respective G loads after Bonferroni adjustment for multiple comparisons. Data are mean ± SEM.